CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

MAY | 5 2012

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DEPLITY

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	}
10	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. MJ 12-01183
11	v.	
12	JUAN CARLOS RODRIGUEZ	ORDER OF DETENTION
13	JUHN CHRUS RUDRIGUEZ	
14	Defendant.	
15		)
l II		

I.

- A. ( ) On motion of the Government in a case allegedly involving:
  - 1. ( ) a crime of violence.
  - 2. ( ) an offense with maximum sentence of life imprisonment or death.
  - 3. ( ) a narcotics or controlled substance offense with maximum sentence of ten or more years .
  - 4. ( ) any felony where the defendant has been convicted of two or more prior offenses described above.
  - 5. ( ) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence that involves a minor victim, or possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18 U.S.C § 2250.
- B. ( ) On motion by the Government / ( ) on Court's own motion, in a case

1

2

3

5

6

7

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	allegedly involving:	
2	( ) On the further allegation by the Government of:	
3	1. (v) a serious risk that the defendant will flee.	
4	2. ( ) a serious risk that the defendant will:	
5	a. ( ) obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.	
6	b. ( ) threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror or	
7	and the de so.	
8	C. The Government ( ) is/ (v) is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no	
9	condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's	
10	appearance as required and the safety of any person or the community.	
11		
12	II.	
13	A. (1) The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will	
14	reasonably assure:	
15	1. (v) the appearance of the defendant as required.	
16	( ) and/or	
17	2. ( ) the safety of any person or the community.	
18	B. ( ) The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient	
19	evidence to the contrary the presumption provided by statute.	
20		
21	III.	
22	The Court has considered:	
23	A. the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether the	
24	offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves a minor	
25	victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device;	
26	B. the weight of evidence against the defendant;	
27	C. the history and characteristics of the defendant; and	
28	D. the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or to the community.	

ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER HEARING (18 U.S.C. §3142(i))

1	IV.		
2	The Court also has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the		
3	arguments and/or statements of counsel, and the Pretrial Services		
4	Report/recommendation.		
5			
6	V.		
7	The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:		
8	A. (V) As to flight risk: <u>nature</u> of the offenses;		
9	immigration status; no known bail resources;		
10	history of noncompliance		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16	B. ( ) As to danger:		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22   23			
24	VI.		
25	A. ( ) The Court finds that a serious risk exists that the defendant will:		
26	1. ( ) obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.		
27	2. ( ) attempt to / ( ) threaten, injure or intimidate a witness or juror.		
28	2. ( ) attempt to ( ) intention, injure of intillinate a without or jures.		
20			

DATED: May 15, 2012

HONORABLE ALICIA G. ROSENBERG UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

28

26

27